

FILED

DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM

FEB 22 2006

MARY L.M. MORAN
CLERK OF COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE TERRITORY OF GUAM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CRIMINAL CASE NO. 01-00083
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
JI SUNG SHIN a.k.a. JAMES SHIN,)	AMENDED TRIAL ORDER
)	
Defendant.)	

The trial in this case was previously set to commence on March 8, 2006, however, on February 9, 2006, defense counsel Rawlen Mantanona filed a Motion to Withdraw based on a conflict of interest. On February 15, 2006, the Court granted the motion to withdraw and appointed William Gavras to represent the Defendant.

On February 21, 2006, the parties appeared before the Court for purposes of determining whether Mr. Gavras would be prepared to proceed to trial as scheduled. Mr. Gavras stated that, based on his recent appointment and the voluminous discovery received that he must still review, the earliest date he could possibly be ready to try the case would be in May 2006. The Government had no objection to counsel's request for additional time to prepare an adequate defense for the Defendant.

Accordingly, the trial in this case is hereby rescheduled to commence on Tuesday,

ORIGINAL

May 9, 2006, at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable John C. Coughenour.¹ All pretrial motions shall be filed no later than April 17, 2006. Additionally, the following shall be filed or lodged with the Court no later than May ²~~21~~²², 2006:

- 1) Proposed jury voir dire questions;
- 2) An original and one copy of the exhibit list - (Government's exhibits numbered; Defendant's exhibits lettered);
- 3) Three complete sets of marked and tabbed exhibits in three-ring binders. A copy of the Exhibit List shall be included in each three-ring binder. The parties shall meet and confer sufficiently in advance of trial and formulate a set of joint exhibits, if possible, to eliminate duplicate exhibits. Those exhibits upon which agreement cannot be reached shall be submitted separately by each respective party;
- 4) Any documents to be used in the examination of witnesses, but not to be introduced into evidence, such as police reports, investigative reports or witness statements - (one copy must be lodged with the Court);
- 5) Proposed verdict forms;
- 6) An original and one copy of witness lists for purposes of voir dire only - (Witness lists shall include: legal names, aliases, nicknames, place of residence and place of employment); and
- 7) Proposed jury instructions - (Those jury instructions upon which an agreement cannot be reached shall be submitted in a separate packet, together with the objections and authority therefor of each party).

The period of delay between February 15, 2006, and May 9, 2006, inclusive, is hereby excluded for purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. The Court finds that "the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial" based on the fact that failure to grant the continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

DATED this 22nd day of February 2006.


JOAQUIN V.E. MANIBUSAN, JR.
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ The Honorable John C. Coughenour, United States District Judge for the Western District of Washington, sitting by designation.